

Doubts swirl over damage to Iran facilities

The head of the UN's nuclear watchdog says U.S. strikes on Iran did not fully cripple its nuclear programme and that Tehran could restart enriching uranium "in a matter of months"



■ **Jun 21:** Shortly after U.S. strikes, President **Donald Trump** announces that Iran's three main nuclear facilities had been "totally obliterated"

■ **Jun 23:** Leaked preliminary assessment from Pentagon's **Defence Intelligence Agency** suggests U.S. bombing did not destroy core components of Iran's nuclear programme and likely only set it back by months

■ **Jun 24:** White House rejects DIA assessment, calling it "flat-out wrong"

■ **Jun 25:** CIA director **John Ratcliffe** (right) says new intelligence indicates that strikes "severely damaged" Iran's sites and set them back years



■ **Jun 26:** U.S. Defence Secretary **Pete Hegseth** (left) echoes Trump's claim, describing strikes as "historically successful"



Sites hit by U.S.



■ **Jun 28:** **Rafael Grossi** (left) – director of UN's **International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)** – declares Iran could resume enrichment in months, and warns some of its stockpile of enriched uranium may have been moved to secret location before U.S. attack

■ **Jun 29:** Intercepted Iranian communications cast further doubt on effectiveness of U.S. strikes – describing them as less destructive than Tehran was expecting – according to **Washington Post** report